

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/380,419	ROTHSCHILD ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Juliet C. Switzer	1634	

All Participants:

Status of Application: after-final

(1) Juliet C. Switzer.

(3) _____.

(2) Tonya Brady.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 February 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

2, 8, 33

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner's amendment was approved. It was agreed that the after-final would be entered and the examiner's amendment would place the claims in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)